

AO 120 (Rev. 2/99)

TO: Commissioner of Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450	REPORT ON THE FILING OR DETERMINATION OF AN ACTION REGARDING A PATENT OR TRADEMARK
---	---

In Compliance with 35 § 290 and/or 15 U.S.C. § 1116 you are hereby advised that a court action has been
 filed in the U.S. District Court Colorado on the following Patents

DOCKET NO. 11-cv-01076	DATE FILED 4/22/11	U.S. DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLORADO
PLAINTIFF Genentech, Inc. et al.		DEFENDANT Sandoz Inc.
PATENT OR	DATE OF PATENT	HOLDER OF PATENT OR TRADEMARK
16083,953		Please see copy of Complaint attached hereto
2		
3		
4		
5		

In the above—entitled case, the following patent(s) have been included:

DATE INCLUDED	INCLUDED BY <input type="checkbox"/> Amendment <input type="checkbox"/> Answer <input type="checkbox"/> Cross Bill <input type="checkbox"/> Other Pleading	
PATENT OR	DATE OF PATENT OR TRADEMARK	HOLDER OF PATENT OR TRADEMARK
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		

In the above—entitled case, the following decision has been rendered or judgement issued:

DECISION/JUDGEMENT 6/21/11 order of Dismissal

CLERK GREGORY C. LANGHAM	(BY) DEPUTY CLERK <i>Loelle Girelli</i>	DATE 7/12/11
--	--	----------------------------

Copy 1—Upon initiation of action, mail this copy to Commissioner Copy 3—Upon termination of action, mail this copy to
 Copy 2—Upon filing document adding patent(s), mail this copy to Commissioner Copy 4—Case file copy

AO 120 (Rev. 08/10)

TO: Mail Stop 8 Director of the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450	REPORT ON THE FILING OR DETERMINATION OF AN ACTION REGARDING A PATENT OR TRADEMARK
---	---

In Compliance with 35 U.S.C. § 290 and/or 15 U.S.C. § 1116 you are hereby advised that a court action has been
filed in the U.S. District Court _____ for the District of Colorado _____ on the following

☐ Trademarks or ☒ Patents. (☐ the patent action involves 35 U.S.C. § 292.);

DOCKET NO.	DATE FILED 4/11/2011	U.S. DISTRICT COURT for the District of Colorado
PLAINTIFF Genentech, Inc. & Roche Palo Alto LLC		DEFENDANT Sandoz Inc.
PATENT OR TRADEMARK NO.	DATE OF PATENT OR TRADEMARK	HOLDER OF PATENT OR TRADEMARK
1 6,083,953	7/4/2000	Roche Palo Alto
2		
3		
4		
5		

In the above—entitled case, the following patent(s)/ trademark(s) have been included:

DATE INCLUDED	INCLUDED BY <input type="checkbox"/> Amendment <input type="checkbox"/> Answer <input type="checkbox"/> Cross Bill <input type="checkbox"/> Other Pleading	
PATENT OR TRADEMARK NO.	DATE OF PATENT OR TRADEMARK	HOLDER OF PATENT OR TRADEMARK
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		

In the above—entitled case, the following decision has been rendered or judgement issued:

DECISION/JUDGEMENT		
CLERK	(BY) DEPUTY CLERK	DATE

Copy 1—Upon initiation of action, mail this copy to Director Copy 3—Upon termination of action, mail this copy to Director
Copy 2—Upon filing document adding patent(s), mail this copy to Director Copy 4—Case file copy

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLORADO
Judge Christine M. Arguello**

Civil Action No. 11-cv-01076-CMA-MJW

GENENTECH, INC., a Delaware corporation, and
ROCHE PALO ALSO LLC, a Delaware limited liability company,

Plaintiffs,

v.

SANDOZ INC., a Colorado corporation,,

Defendant.

ORDER OF DISMISSAL WITHOUT PREJUDICE


In light of Plaintiffs' Notice of Voluntary Dismissal (Doc. # 10), and pursuant to
Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(a)(1)(A)(i) and (B), the Court hereby

ORDERS that this cause of action is DISMISSED WITHOUT PREJUDICE. It is

FURTHER ORDERED that the Scheduling Conference set before Magistrate
Judge Watanabe on August 24, 2011 is VACATED.

DATED: June 21, 2011

BY THE COURT:



CHRISTINE M. ARGUELLO
United States District Court Judge